IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8604 OF 2005

Anand B. Bharadwaj ..Petitioner

versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Ms. L. Patil Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri V. S. Gokhale, AGP for the Respondents No.1 and 2.

CORAM : R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
NARESH H. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 22ND AUGUST, 2006

P.C. :

1. When the matter came up for hearing, the learned AGP fairly submitted that the impugned order does not disclose the grievance made by the Petitioner in his representation dated 1.7.2004, and, accordingly the Respondents Authority will pass appropriate order on the said representation in accordance with the provisions of the law within a period of six weeks.

2. We accept the said statement. On such

statement being accepted by this court, learned Advocate for the petitioner craves leave to withdraw the Petition with liberty to approach the Court in case the need arises.

3. Accordingly, while accepting the statement made on behalf of the Respondents, the Petition is allowed to be withdrawn with the said liberty. Hence, petition stands disposed of accordingly.

4. In order to enable the Authorities to decide the said representation, the Petitioner to appear before the concerned Authority on 5.9.2006 at 11.00 a.m. along with the copy of the representation.

(R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)